

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILI     | ING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|------------------------|----------|--------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/670,386             | 09       | /26/2003     | Takeharu Arakawa     | 031144                 | 2736             |  |
| 23850                  | 7590     | 08/31/2006   |                      | EXAMINER               |                  |  |
|                        | •        | TZ, QUINTOS, | BROADHEAD, BRIAN J   |                        |                  |  |
| 1725 K STR             | •        |              | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER           |                  |  |
| SUITE 1000<br>WASHINGT |          | 20006        | 3661                 | THE EX NOMBER          |                  |  |
| WISHING                | . OI, DC | 20000        |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/31/200 | 6                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Applie  | cation No.  | Applicant(s)  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|---|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary                                |  |   | 70,386  | ARAKAWA, TAK  | EHARU         |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   | iner  | Art Unit  | T             |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Brian   | J. Broadhead  | 3661  |               |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this commu<br>or Reply   | nication appears or   | the cover sheet   | with the correspondence ac  | dress         |  |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Management of time may be available under the provision. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this component of the property of period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the toreply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | MAILING DATE OF<br>s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r<br>munication.<br>tatutory period will apply a<br>y will, by statute, cause the | THIS COMMUN<br>no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) MO<br>e application to become | IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ,             |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 1) 又   | Responsive to communication(s) file  | ed on <i>02 June 200</i>  | 96.   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2b) This action   |   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 3)   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| •  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| Disposit   | ion of Claims  |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 4)🛛  | Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-37</u> is/are pendi   | ing in the application  | on.   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| •  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 5)[  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠  | Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-37 is/are rejected.  |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 7)   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 8)□  | Claim(s) are subject to restrict   | ction and/or election   | on requirement.   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| Applicat   | on Papers  |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 9)□  | The specification is objected to by the  | ne Examiner.  |   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 10)  | The drawing(s) filed on is/are   | : a)  accepted o  | r b)☐ objected to   | by the Examiner.  |               |  |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any obje  | ection to the drawing   | (s) be held in abeya  | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |               |  |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including   | g the correction is re  | quired if the drawin  | g(s) is objected to. See 37 C   | FR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)  | The oath or declaration is objected t  | o by the Examiner   | . Note the attache  | ed Office Action or form P  | TO-152.       |  |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| •  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim<br>☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:   | for foreign priority  | under 35 U.S.C.   | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |               |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies  | · · · · · · · ·   |   | n received in this National   | Stage         |  |  |  |  |
|  | application from the Internation   | •   | ` ''  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| * 5  | See the attached detailed Office action  | on for a list of the c  | ertified copies no  | t received.   |               |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | t/c)   |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| _  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)  |   | 4) Interview  | Summary (PTO-413)   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 2) 🔲 Notic   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F   |   | Paper No  | (s)/Mail Date   |               |  |  |  |  |
|  | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or<br>r No(s)/Mail Date   | PTO/SB/08)  | 5)  Notice of Other:  | Informal Patent Application (PTC  | <b>D-152)</b> |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/670,386

Art Unit: 3661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1- 7, 11-16, and 20- 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirono, 6246958, in view of Carlsson, 2002/0029224.
- 3. As per claims 1-7 and 20-28. Hirono discloses an information acquiring section for acquiring information on lines 31-33, on column 4; an information processing section for associating the information acquired by the information acquiring section with acquisition condition information concerning the conditions for making the information acquiring section execute an information acquiring operation to acquire information on lines 34-41, on column 4; an acquisition possibility determining section for determining, based on the acquisition condition information, whether or not the acquiring operation can be executed; and a control section for controlling the information acquiring section, when it is determined by the acquisition possibility determining section that the acquiring operation can be executed, to execute the acquiring operation on lines 49-67, on column 6; an input section for setting and inputting an acquisition demand information for demanding execution of the acquiring operation in response to an input operation, wherein said control section provides controls for inhibiting the execution of the acquiring operation, when it is determined by the control section that the acquiring

Application/Control Number: 10/670,386

Art Unit: 3661

operation cannot be executed by the acquisition possibility determining section, even if the control section recognizes an input for setting the acquisition demand information in the input section on lines 27-29, on column 5; an acquisition possibility determining section for determining whether or not the information demanded from the acquisition demand information and the information acquired by the information acquiring section are identical to each other, and for determining, when the two pieces of information are not identical to each other, that the acquiring operation can be executed on lines 49-67. on column 6; said acquisition condition information relates to the conditions for executing the acquiring operation at a timing when the information not identical to the information previously acquired can be acquired on lines 40-46, on column 2; an input section for setting and inputting an acquisition demand information to demand an acquiring operation to make the information acquisition section acquire information with a specified content in response to an input operation, wherein the acquisition condition information is the content information concerning a content of said information; and the acquisition possibility determining section determines that the acquiring operation can be executed when it is determined by comparing contents of the acquisition demand information set and inputted in the input section to those of said acquisition condition information that the two pieces of information are not identical to each other on lines 20-29, on column 2.

Page 3

4. Hirono does not disclose the conditions for acquiring the information being set in accordance with the type of information. Carlsson teaches the conditions for acquiring the information being set in accordance with the type of information in paragraphs 32,

Art Unit: 3661

36, and 39. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Carlsson in the invention of Hirono because such modification would provide information transfer in a bandwidth efficient manner.

- 5. As per claims 11, 12, and 13, Hirono discloses acquiring information through communications (46).
- 6. As per claims 14, 15, and 16, Hirono discloses a guidance reporting section for reporting a guidance in response to the moving state of a movable body, wherein said information is information concerning movement of the movable body on lines 34-38, on column 4.
- 7. Claims 8, 9, 17, 18, 19, and 29 though 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirono, 6246958, in view of Carlsson, 2002/0029224, in further view of Kondou et al., 6073075.
- 8. Hirono and Carlsson disclose the limitations as set forth above. They do not disclose said acquisition possibility determining section determines that an acquiring operation can be executed when it recognizes, by comparing the time information concerning the current point of time to said acquisition condition information, that the current point of time has reached the time indicated by the acquisition condition information; a time acquiring section; and different types of updateable information. Kondou et al. teaches said acquisition possibility determining section determines that an acquiring operation can be executed when it recognizes, by comparing the time information concerning the current point of time to said acquisition condition information, that the current point of time has reached the time indicated by the acquisition condition

Application/Control Number: 10/670,386 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

information on lines 45-54, on column 8; a time acquiring section is inherent since the invention compares a current time; and different types of updateable information on lines 30-43, on column 4. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Kondou et al. in the invention of Hirono and Carlsson because such modification would provide a user of a mobile terminal proper information on a real time basis as stated on lines 59-62, on column 1, of Kondou et al.

## Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed 6-2-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 10. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Hirano discloses a system that gets updates of information. Carlsson teaches a way to transfer in formation in a more efficient way. The motivation is to save bandwidth resulting in a cost savings. The direction or initiation of information flow in Carlsson is irrelevant since one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the bandwidth savings is applicable to any system sending information updates.

Art Unit: 3661

and Carlsson.

11. A similar argument is made with respect to the combination with Kondou. Kondou is clearly related and teaches a system for a terminal to acquire information from an information server. Again, one or ordinary skill in the art would see the benefits of Kondou and be motivated to use the teachings of Kondou in the invention of Hirono

#### Conclusion

12. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Broadhead whose telephone number is 571-272-6957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 571-272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,386 Page 7

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AJA BJB

THOMAS BLACK
THOMAS BLACK
EXAMINER